



Shri Munesh K. Singh,
2594, Sector 27-C,
Chandigarh.

....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Mohali.

..Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3212 of 2020

Present:- Shri Munesh K. Singh, appellant is present.
ASI Nathi Ram is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali.

O R D E R

Shri Munesh K. Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 07.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today. The respondent stated that the attested copies of 105 pages of information has been provided by hand to the appellant on 13.06.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.32009/G/RTI dated 16.06.2021 along with a copy of report dated 10.03.2021 of ASI Nathi Ram, Police Chowki, Baltana. I have gone through letter no.32009/G/RTI dated 16.06.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that point-wise information comprising of 105 pages has been provided to the appellant after obtaining it from the Police Chowki, Baltana. I have also gone through the report dated 10.03.2021 and the appellant has made a duly signed noting dated 13.06.2021 on the said report that "RTI Reply point balance 1-3 received today".

The appellant stated that the information provided by the respondent is still incomplete. On the other hand the respondent stated that the complete information has been provided to the appellant.

The appellant stated that the information provided to him by the respondent is still incomplete, but he has not brought any mode or instance to the notice of the Bench that how the information is incomplete. The SPIO has provided point-wise information/reply to the appellant vide letter dated 03.04.2021 and again provided attested copies of 105 pages of information by hand to the appellant on 13.06.2021. So, the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 16.06.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

(Regd.) CC : SHO, Police Station, Baltana, Distt. SAS Nagar. – for compliance of the Order.



Shri Jaskaran Singh,
S/o Shri Harnek Singh,
R/o Village Bahawal Bassi,
Tehsil Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Fazilka.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3653 of 2020

Present:- Shri Jaskaran Singh, appellant is absent.
Shri Gurwinder Singh, Panchayat Officer (94634-05157), O/o Block Development
and Panchayat Officer, Abohar, Distt. Fazilka is present.

O R D E R

Shri Jaskaran Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 06.07.2020 and sought
some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Abohar, Distt.
Fazilka.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of
the SPIO and he stated that the sought for information has already been sent to the appellant
through registered post on 11.05.2021.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the PIO, Gram Panchayat,
Bahawal Wasi, Block Abohar on 24.05.2021, diarized vide no. 11162, which is a copy of letter
along with a photocopy of postal receipt dated 11.05.2021

I have gone through the above said letter vide which point-wise information/reply
comprising of 278 pages has been sent to the appellant. I have also gone through the RTI
application dated 06.07.2020 filed by the appellant.

The PIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent point-
wise information/reply comprising of 278 pages to the appellant through registered post on
11.05.2021, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 16.06.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

Shri Joginder Singh,
S/o Shri Dayal Singh,
H.No. B-IV/922, Old Radha Swami Street,
Barnala.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Food and Civil Supplies Controller,
Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Managing Director,
PUNGRAIN, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2630 of 2020

Present:- Shri Joginder Singh, appellant is present.
Sh. Jaspal Singh, ACFA is present on behalf of Shri Jaspreet Singh Kahlon,
SPIO-cum-DFSC, Bathinda.

O R D E R

Shri Joginder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 01.06.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o District Food and Civil Supplies Controller, Bathinda.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that the information is still incomplete.

The respondent, who is present on behalf of the SPIO stated that complete information has been sent to the appellant.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 3969 dated 11.06.2021. I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the SPIO that the information was sent to the appellant vide letter no. 6079 dated 16.07.2020, but in compliance to the Order of the Commission the affidavit regarding point no. 4 of the RTI application has been sent to the appellant. It is further submitted by the SPIO that the information regarding point no. 2 and 6 of the RTI application has already been sent to the applicant vide letter no. 2297 dated 31.03.2021 and no other information is available in the office of the SPIO regarding point nos.2 and 6 of the RTI application.

As the SPIO has sent the information to the appellant vide letter no. 6079 dated 16.07.2020, then the information regarding point nos. 2 and 6 was sent to the appellant vide letter no.2297 dated 31.03.2021 and regarding the information related with point nos.4 of the RTI application, the SPIO has sent the affidavit to the appellant, so the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, hence present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 16.06.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

Dr. Avtar Singh (M.S. Ortho),
Civil Hospital, Phillaur,
2281-B, Jagdishpura Tajpur Road,
Near Jalandhar Bypass,
Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o SMO, Civil Hospital,
Phillaur, Distt. Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2720 of 2020

Present:- Dr. Avtar Singh, appellant is absent.
Dr. Rohini Goyal, SPIO-cum-SMO, Civil Hospital, Phillaur is present.

O R D E R

Dr. Avtar Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 10.11.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SMO, Civil Hospital, Phillaur, Distt. Jalandhar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, but the respondent SPIO is present and she stated that in compliance to the Order dated 30.04.2021, the information/reply regarding the deficiencies has been sent to the appellant.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 15.06.2021, diarized vide no. 12933, which is a copy of letter no. 484 dated 12.06.2021 along with copy of information/reply and a duly attested self declaration dated 12.06.2021.

I have gone through letter no.484 dated 12.06.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the information/reply regarding the deficiencies has been sent to the appellant. The SPIO submitted that the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant were not the part of the original RTI application. It is further submitted by the SPIO that the information sought by the appellant through point nos.6 and 7 is not the part of official records and regarding point no.9 the self declaration is annexed.

I have also gone through the self declaration dated 12.06.2021 made by Dr. Rohini Goyal, SMO. Phillaur and it is submitted by her that the information sought by the appellant through point no.9 of the RTI application regarding recording of CCTV cameras for the period 15.10.2019 to 25.10.2019 cannot be provided as the recording capacity of the Hard Disk of the cameras is of only 4 to 5 days.

As the SPIO has provided the information/reply to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 16.06.2021



Shri Satnam Singh Randhawa,
SCO 88, District Shopping Centre,
Ranjeet Avenue,
Amritsar.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Punjab Health System Corporation,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Punjab Health System Corporation,
Amritsar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3286 of 2020

Present:- Shri Satnam Singh Randhawa, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Punjab Health System Corporation,
Amritsar.

O R D E R

Shri Satnam Singh Randhawa, appellant filed RTI application dated 08.01.2020
and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Punjab Health System Corporation, Amritsar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is present today, whereas none has come present on behalf of the
SPIO.

The appellant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO,
but he has received letter no. 416 dated 17.07.2020 sent by the PIO, O/o Civil Surgeon,
Amritsar in which It has been stated that the information sought by the appellant relates with
the office of PIO-cum-Deputy Medical Commissioner, Punjab Health System Corporation,
Amritsar and the appellant can get the information from the said office.

So, as per the reply sent by the SPIO, O/o Civil Surgeon Amritsar. the appellant
is advised that in case he wants to get the information, then he should file RTI application before
the PIO-cum-Deputy Medical Commissioner, Punjab Health System Corporation, Amritsar.
With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 16.06.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Jatinder Singh, S/o Shri Surinder Singh,
R/o Village Rasulpur,
PO Roopnagar,
Tehsil & Distt. Roopnagar.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO,
Roopnagar.

.Respondent

Complaint Case No. 293 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jatinder Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
Shri Amrik Singh, VDO, O/o BDPO, Roopnagar is present.

O R D E R

Shri Jatinder Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 10.11.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Roopnagar. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 08.03.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. The Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the complainant/applicant has filed RTI application on 10.11.2020 and regarding that letter dated 04.12.2020 was written to the complainant/applicant and he was asked to deposit the requisite fee of Rs.275/- with the office of the PIO, but the complainant/applicant has not deposited the fee till date.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Rasulpur on 13.05.2021, diarized vide no.10534, which is a copy of letter no.06 dated 07.05.2021 along with a copy of letter dated 04.12.2020.

I have gone through letter no.06 dated 07.05.2021 vide which point-wise information/reply has been sent to the complainant/applicant. It is submitted in the letter that the complainant/applicant has filed RTI application on 10.11.2020 and regarding that letter dated 04.12.2020 was written to the complainant/applicant and he was asked to deposit the requisite fee of Rs.275/- with the office of the PIO, but he has not deposited the fee till date.

So, in the light of the above said facts, the complainant/applicant is directed that in case he wants to get the information, then he should deposit the requisite fee of Rs.275/- with the office of the PIO within 15 days and in case the complainant/applicant deposits the requisite fee, then the PIO should provide the attested copies of sought for information to the complainant/applicant within 10 days from the deposit of requisite fee and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 16.06.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Harchand Singh Bhullar (Advocate),
Civil Court, Chamber No. 2,
Phul, Distt. Bathinda.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO,
Block Phul,
Distt. Bathinda.

..Respondent

Complaint Case No. 313 of 2021

Present:- Shri Harchand Singh Bhullar (Advocate), complainant/applicant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block Phul, Distt. Bathinda.

O R D E R

Shri Harchand Singh Bhullar (Advocate), complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 13.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block Phul, Distt. Bathinda. A reply was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter no.1 dated 10.09.2020. But not satisfied with the reply, the complainant/applicant filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 19.03.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. The Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are absent today and neither any information/e-mail has been received in the office of the Commission regarding the present case.

The complainant/applicant has filed RTI application on 13.08.2020 and the PIO has sent him the information/reply vide letter no.1 dated 10.09.2020, which is within the stipulated period, so no complaint lies against the SPIO.

Hence, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that in case the complainant/applicant wants to get the information, then he should file First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority within 20 days.

Dated: 16.06.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Jasbir Singh Sekhon, S/o Late Shri Kartar Singh,
Village Tandi, P.O. Laroya,
Distt. Jalandhar.

....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Tandi,
Distt. Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o BDPO, Block Bhogpur,
Distt. Jalandhar.

..Respondent

Appeal Case No. 13 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh Sekhon, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the respondent.

O R D E R

Shri Jasbir Singh Sekhon, appellant filed RTI application dated 02.01.2020 and sought some information from the PIO-cum-Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Tandi, Distt. Jalandhar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the BDPO, Bhogpur, which is a copy of letter no. 1915 dated 28.05.2021 along with copy of letter dated 27.05.2021.

I have gone through letter no. 1915 dated 28.05.2021 and it is submitted by the BDPO, Bhogpur that the requisite information has been provided to the appellant by Shri Paramjit Singh, Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Tandi on 27.05.2021.

The appellant, Shri Jasbir Singh Sekhon though is absent today, but he has also sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that he has received the information regarding point nos. 1 and 3 of the RTI application and regarding the information related with point no. 2 of the RTI application, Shri Paramjit Singh, Panchayat Secretary has promised to provide the same. The appellant further requested in the e-mail to close the case.

So, as per the submission of the appellant, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 16.06.2021

State Information Commissioner, Punjab

(Regd.) CC: BDPO, Block Bhogpur, Distt. Jalandhar. – for compliance of the Order.